MEDINA TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS PUBLIC HEARING JULY 20, 2011

Chair Morel called the public hearing of the Medina Township Board of Zoning Appeals to order at 7:30 p.m. Board members West, Becker, Karson and Morel were present. Alternate board member Boris Williams sat in for a quorum. Chair Morel introduced the Board members and explained the public hearing procedure to those present.

Bill Doraty Kia-(2925 Medina Rd.) Administrative Appeal of Notice of Violation by Zoning Inspector Ridgely on May 16, 2011

See attached transcription. The hearing was continued for purpose of Board deliberations until August 17, 2011 at 7:30 pm.

Variance Requests

Herrmann variance request-3389 S. Weymouth Rd.

Chair Morel reviewed the application. The applicant is Don Herrmann. The property requiring the variance-3389 S. Weymouth Rd. Present Zoning-RR. Previous Requests-Yes. Variation Requested: Section 401.3 D. Minimum Front Yard Depth-100 ft. exclusive of the road right of way to construct an addition (mudroom) to the existing house. Need a variance of 54 ft. The explanation for the variance request: Not far enough off the road; house over 100 yrs. old; add to appearance-upgrade.

The applicant and property owner Mr. Don Herrmann was sworn in. Mr. West asked about the previous variance that was granted? Mr. Herrmann stated if was for an addition on the other side of the house. Chair Morel stated the Board has granted many variances for the homes in this small area and he believed the granting of those variances have made the neighborhood better and better.

The Board then reviewed the Duncan Factors.

- 1. Will the property yield a reasonable return or a beneficial use without the variance request? The Board stated yes.
- 2. Is the variance substantial? The Board stated yes.
- 3. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining property owners suffer a substantial detriment if the variance is granted? The Board stated just the opposite.
- 4. Will the granting of the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services? The Board stated no.
- 5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the knowledge of the zoning restrictions? The Board stated yes. Mr. West asked when Mr. Herrmann purchased the property? Mr. Herrmann said in 1992 but he grew up in the home.
- 6. Whether the problem can be solved by some other manner other than the granting of the variance? The Board stated the only alternative would be no mudroom addition.

Page 2 BZA 7/20/2011

7. Does the granting of the variance uphold the spirit and intent of the Zoning Resolution? The Board stated yes.

Mrs. Karson made a motion to grant a 54 ft. Front Yard Depth variance for an addition of a mudroom on the east side of existing house at 3389 S. Weymouth Rd. It was seconded by Mr. West.

ROLL CALL-Karson-yes, West-yes, Becker-yes, Williams-yes, Morel-yes.

Farrell variance request-5068 Red Maple Ct.

Chair Morel reviewed the application. The applicants are Sean & Sheryl Farrell. The property requiring the variance-5068 Red Maple Ct. Present Zoning-R2 Forestview Estates. Previous Requests-No. Variation Requested: Section General Regulations R-2B.5.b. Minimum Rear Yard Depth-40ft. Requesting a variance of 9 ft. to construct an above ground pool. The explanation for the variance request. A. Strict application of the zoning regulations would not be practical because 40 ft. from our rear property line would place the pool within 3 ft. of our foundation. The existing deck also prohibits us from meeting that requirement. B. Forestview Estates is the only area in the Township with such large setback requirements. C. It is not unreasonable nor unusual to install a pool on a residential property. Other properties within the same zoning district have been granted variances for the same purpose. Additionally, there is 56' of zoned, grass green space that abuts our rear property line. With the variance requested, there would be 87' of grass space between the proposed structure and wooded green space that begins after the grassy green space.

The applicants / property owners were sworn in. Mr. Farrell stated for the record that they did not have knowledge of the zoning restrictions before they purchased the property. Mr. Farrell stated they thought because of the 56 ft. of grass green space and the woods behind it, that they had more land than they truly did.

Ms. Farrell stated when they purchased the property there was already a structure built on the grassy, green common space that abuts the property. That is why we thought that grassy area was ours to build on.

Mr. Farrell stated he was requesting the variance because the pool would be too close to the house as well as for financial reasons so that what needed to be added to the deck would be minimal.

Mr. West asked if the 40 ft. rear yard setback was exclusive to Forestview Estates?

Ms. Strogin, Chair of the Zoning Commission was sworn in. She responded that area was developed as R-2 under a court settlement agreement. The grassy, green area mentioned is part of the common open space that was required for this development. Ms. Strogin stated just because there is green or open space behind a lot does not mean one can build on that land because all structures built are to be contained on one's own property.

Page 3 BZA 7/20/2011

The Board then reviewed the Duncan Factors.

- 1. Will the property yield a reasonable return or a beneficial use without the variance request? The Board stated yes.
- 2. Is the variance substantial? The Board stated no.
- 3. Whether the essential character of the neighborhood would be substantially altered or adjoining property owners suffer a substantial detriment if the variance is granted? The Board stated no.
- 4. Will the granting of the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services? The Board stated no.
- 5. Did the property owner purchase the property with the knowledge of the zoning restrictions? The Board stated that one is presumed to have knowledge of the zoning restrictions one purchases property.
- 6. Whether the problem can be solved by some other manner other than the granting of the variance? The Board stated the alternative would be no pool.
- 7. Does the granting of the variance uphold the spirit and intent of the Zoning Resolution? The Board stated yes.

Mr. West made a motion to grant a 9 ft. Rear Yard Setback variance for the construction of a 21ft. above ground pool for the property located at 5068 Red Maple Court. It was seconded by Mrs. Karson.

ROLL CALL-West-yes, Karson-yes, Becker-yes, Williams-yes, Morel-yes.

Kaiser Permanente variance request-3645 Medina Rd.

A fax was received dated July 20, 2011 from Hasentab Architects who represented Kaiser Permanente. The fax stated that they were withdrawing their variance submittal to the Board of Zoning Appeals for the property located at 3645 Medina Rd. for the operation of a Kaiser Permanente Micro Clinic.

Meeting Minutes Approval

The April 20, 2011 meeting minutes and May 18, 2011 were tabled for approval as Board members stated they were not in receipt of those minutes.

Having no further business before the Board, the hearing of Board of Zoning Appeals was officially adjourned at 10:45 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kim Ferencz Zoning Secretary

Ed Morel, Chairman

BEFORE THE MEDINA TOWNSHIP BOARD OF **ZONING APPEALS**

IN RE: Bill Doraty Kia) -Administrative Appeal ..).....

BE IT REMEMBERED that upon the hearing of the above-entitled matter held at Medina Township Board of Zoning Appeals, 3799 Huffman Road, Medina, Ohio, and commencing on Wednesday, the 20th day of July, 2011, at 7:30 p.m., the following proceedings were had.

> COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION BY BISH & ASSOCIATES, LLC 520 South Main Street, Suite 2501 Akron, Ohio 44311 (330) 762-0031 (800) 332-0607 FAX: (330) 762-0300

www.bish-associates.com

E-Mail: bishinfo@bish-associates.com

INDEX

Witness: Page:Line Bill Doraty 53:23 David Scherba 70:13 Elaine Ridgley 73:2 83:16 86:3 Michael Baach 97:7 Sean Farrell 98:20 Don Herrmann 102:23

104:1

Page 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MOREL: We're going to ask William West if he would read the request and the first two pages of Miss Mills' backup

letter into the record, please.

Kelly Heirmann

MR. WEST: This is an appeal of the zoning inspector's decision. The applicant is Bill Doraty Kia. The address is 2925 Medina Road, Medina, Ohio 44256. The property owner is Doralis Holdings, LLC at the same address, 2925 Medina Road, Medina, Ohio

44256.

The application is for property located at 2925 Medina Road, Medina, Ohio 44256. The zoning district in which the property is located is BG. The applicable section of the zoning resolution cited is Section 603. The decision being appealed is the notice of violation by Zoning Inspector

Ridgley on May 16, 2011. The explanation for the appeal: See the attached letter. It's

dated 5/31/2011 and it's signed I think by William Doraty.

The letter is dated May 27, 2011, that is referenced in the appeal. The letter is from Laura Mills of Mills, Mills, Fiely &

APPEARANCES:

Members of Medina Township Board of Zoning Appeals:

Edward Morel, Chairman Horst Becker

Boris Williams Charlotte Karson

William West

On Behalf of Bill Doraty Kia: Mills, Mills, Fiely & Lucas, LLC

By: Laura L. Mills, Attorney at Law Paul Vincent, Attorney at Law 150 Smokerise Drive

Wadsworth, Ohio 44681 (330) 336-7900

On Behalf of Zoning Inspector Elaine

Ridgley:

Walter & Haverfield, LLP

By: Sara J. Fagnilli, Attorney at Law 1301 East Ninth Street, Suite 3500 Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 781-1212

On Behalf of the Medina Township Board of Zoning Appeals:

Buckingham, Doolittle & Burroughs,

LLP By: John P. Slagter, Attorney at Law 1375 East Ninth Street, Suite 1700 Cleveland, Ohio 44114

(216) 615-7331

Lucas, 150 Smokerise Drive, P.O. Box 27, Wadsworth, Ohio 44282. It's addressed to the Board of Zoning Appeals. The subject is denial of temporary sign permit application.

Board of Zoning Appeals members: I'm writing on behalf of Bill Doraty Kia to convey my intent to appeal your decision that the display on my roof is prohibited under Medina Township's Zoning Regulation 603(E). And Medina Township Zoning Regulation will be referred to throughout I think as MTZR. Below you will find my reason for appealing, as well as any and all attachments that will create the record for this appeal.

Within the attachments please find an expert report from Mark Majewski, M-a-j-e-w-s-k-i, a temporary sign permit application and Elaine Ridgley's denial letter.

MTZR 603(E) does not apply to our static display. Zoning Inspector Ridgley cites MTZR 603(E) as the basis of the notice of violation that we received on May 16, 2011. MTZR 603(E) requires movement as a requisite for prohibition. MTZR 603(E) reads "No sign

according to that observation.

Additionally, the provision that reads "No sign or part thereof shall contain or consist of balloons and/or any inflatable devices or other similar moving devices" only applies when those items are part of another sign. In our case, the inflatable display is an isolated display on its own. It is not part of a sign. It is part of the roof on our main building. Thus, this portion of 603(E) cannot apply.

When considering that our static inflatable display does not move and that it is not part of another sign, I vigorously believe that 603(E) cannot apply.

Inflatables are permitted according to the MTZR. Although I unequivocally believe that 603(E) does not apply to my static inflatable, the MTZR permits my display under both the temporary and portable sign definitions.

The definition for portable sign reads "Sign, portable" and that's in quotes, but I think the quotation continues here "is a sign that is not permanent, affixed to a

Page 6

shall employ any part or elements which

revolve, rotate, whirl, spin or otherwise make use of motion to attract attention. No sign or part thereof shall contain or consist of flags, banners, posters, pennants, ribbons, streamers, spinners, balloons, and/or any

streamers, spinners, balloons, and/or any inflatable devices, search light or other similar moving devices."

Most notably, our inflatable display is static and is made to stay motionless. Any movement by the inflatable would be harmful to our roof and to Inflatable Image's leased product. With 12 harness points where the inflatable is secured, the display is as motionless as a piece of fabric could be in the elements.

There is no mention with the MTZR on how much movement is necessary to be a moving sign according to 603(E). In serious wind, anything can move. I cannot imagine that Zoning Inspector Ridgley could believe that any movement would prohibit a sign from being displayed because that would mean that all high-rise signs in the township, as well as all temporary signs would be disallowed

Page 8

Page

building, structure or the ground."

According to the definition, the inflatable display is a portable sign and should be treated as one. The inflatable is not permanent. It can be put up in about a half an hour and can be taken down just as quickly. To deflate it, it takes less than 30 seconds. It is secured to the roof with airplane-strength cable and eye bolts. It must be assumed that "affixed" is synonymous with permanence in this definition or else it would mean that there could be no portable signs according to the definition.

Every sign needs to be "affixed" in one way or another. If not, there would be greater safety concerns with non-affixed signs in the township. Every portable sign would be flying all over the properties and roadways of Medina Township.

The definition for temporary sign reads "Sign, temporary is a sign or advertising display constructed of cloth, canvas, fabric, plywood or other light material and designed or intended to be displayed for a short period of time."

Under this definition, the inflatable display is precisely a temporary sign. The inflatable is an advertising display. It is constructed of a light hybrid fabric consisting of a polyester and cloth.

Lastly, it is only displayed for 30 days or less. We believe, as do many other businesses in the township, that a static inflatable display meets exactly with the definition of a temporary sign and should be granted a permit accordingly.

There are inflatables throughout the township for a quarter of the year. An important piece in the inequity of our appeal is that from late October to early February you can find inflatable displays in dozens of residential yards throughout the township. These inflatables should bring a safety and aesthetic concern, if there truly is one, to the township, if they are permitted without petition.

As a business owner, I would enjoy the same treatment and am willing to even pay a fee for the same treatment. This would be an additional source of revenue to the the changing sign laws, which should allow for static inflatable displays to be utilized in a

static inflatable displays to be utilized in
 regulated and reasonable manner. We

appreciate the opportunity to appeal Inspector

Ridgley's interpretation of the MTZR and believe that her ruling is misguided when

considering the MTZR as a whole.

Respectfully, Laura L. Mills, again, Mills,

9 Mills, Fiely & Lucas.

And then also attached to that application is an extensive letter dated February 18, 2011, addressed to Laura L. Mills, Esquire from Mark Majewski, M-a-j-e-w-s-k-i, from Northstar Planning & Design. I'm not going to read that letter. It's an extensive letter.

Also attached to the package is Mr. Majewski's CV, the rejection of the temporary sign permit by Elaine Ridgley, as well as the application for the sign permit by Mr. Doraty. Also attached is -- no, I think that's all the attachments.

MR. MOREL: Okay. At this time I would like to introduce Mr. Slagter and just go over some of the background.

Page 10

township and would ease many of the pressures to Zoning Inspector Ridgley. Additionally, it would provide fairness to all citizens in Medina Township - both residents and

businesses.

There are "prohibited" 603(E) signs throughout the township. Within 603(E) there are several allegedly prohibited items that are strewn throughout the township. On any given day you can drive down Pearl Road or Route 18 and find flags, banners, balloons, et cetera which are to be prohibited. However, we believe that these are overlooked for whatever reason.

Currently there are dozens of
American flags at various businesses that are
receiving special privileges, although there
are no exceptions allowing their display. If
there are some items that are supposedly
prohibited, but can be found at several
businesses, then business owners cannot be
expected to understand an arbitrary
enforcement process.

Conclusion: We believe that the MTZR should be updated to fall in step with

Page 12

MR. SLAGTER: First of all, to the
members of the Board, nice meeting you. I'm
John Slagter, an attorney with Buckingham,
Doolittle & Burroughs and I was hired by the
township to separately represent the Board of
Zoning Appeals as it relates to this
particular hearing.

The reason for that is because.

The reason for that is because, you know, ultimately what this Board decides will be the decision of the township whether it supports the appeal or whether it upholds the decision of the zoning inspector. And at that time then the normal counsel for the township would handle the matter, but you sit in a quasi judicial capacity similar to what a judge would do in determining whether or not there is a basis for the appeal that's occurring.

And specifically the Revised Code Section 519.24(A) provides the right of an applicant, such as the applicant in this case, if they are denied a permit, for example, they have a right to appeal, and they come to this body for that determination.

This is not a variance. This is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

24

25

not a conditional use and those are other powers that you have. I don't know whether there's conditional uses in the township. I see someone shaking their head yes. So those are other things that you normally hear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is a separate power that you have and all you're doing is listening to evidence that's presented and making a determination as to whether or not in this case the decision of your zoning inspector was correct or not. So the determination is really focused on that narrow issue: Whether they made -- the zoning inspector made an error.

The applicant has certain rights under procedural due process. One, you spoke about swearing people in and you need to make sure that everyone that testifies or presents evidence is sworn in. They have the right to present evidence. They have a right to cross-examine any witnesses that may testify and they have a right to obviously make sure that if there are any objections to evidence or otherwise you need to make a determination on those.

determination is whether or not the current zoning resolution that exists was correctly applied to this particular application that was submitted.

One issue is a procedural matter because this is a little bit unusual. I know that there's been -- when this application I believe was originally submitted there was a pending court case for the same exact sign between the same parties, the township and the applicant and that case was ultimately decided.

We have received a memorandum of opinion from Judge Nugent and I don't know if all the Board members received it. And I would ask the township's attorney, and also the attorney for the applicant, whether this is a true and accurate copy of the -- that decision because we have received this. It was sent to the township. And I don't know whether, one, this is true and accurate or, two, I just think from a procedural standpoint for us what -- really what impact this has on today's decision because there are specific findings in here by a court and we're asked

Page 14

Page 16

MR. MOREL: Okay.

MR. SLAGTER: So I'm here to help you procedurally through the process. At the end of the hearing you need to close the hearing and ultimately you would go through your deliberations and you would basically need to produce what's called -- the Revised Code calls it a conclusion of fact, but it's similar, for the lawyers that are here, to a conclusion of fact -- or finding of fact and conclusions of law.

Basically there may be conflicting testimony about a certain fact and you ultimately need to determine what you think are the facts of the case and then at the end apply the law to those facts, which in this case would be a determination of whether or not the zoning inspector made the right decision. So that's what you're here to do.

This is not like a trustee meeting where it's a public hearing where everyone has a right to come in and make comments about whatever their issue may be. Whether the zoning code should be changed is not a relevant issue for you this evening. Your

really to -- from my review -- it looks like we're asked to interpret the same thing.

MS. MILLS: No.

MR. SLAGTER: That's why we would like to hear that from that standpoint. So I don't know if the township's attorney -- okay. There we go. If this is a correct copy of the opinion and I assume it is.

MS. FAGNILLI: I don't have any disagreement on it.

MS. MILLS: No. I mean, it's on appeal currently.

MR. SLAGTER: Okay.

MS. MILLS: It's pending appeal,

but the issues are not similar --

MR. SLAGTER: Okay.

17 MS. MILLS: -- to what we'll be 18 discussing tonight. I'll address it in my 19 opening statement --

> MR. SLAGTER: Sure. MS. MILLS: But --

22 MR. SLAGTER: The date of the 23 opinion is June 8, 2011.

> MS. MILLS: Yeah, uh-huh. MR. SLAGTER: The Board would

probably want to take notice, what we call judicial notice, that this opinion is out there and I would suggest that, although it's — that you probably start off with a short summary of the procedural issues, then have the applicant's attorney explain from their perspective, maybe in light of this, what we should be looking at. Obviously we can hear the same thing from the township's attorney and then proceed through the normal process.

MR. MOREL: Okay.

7.

MS. MILLS: That's fine. Does it matter where I stand because it doesn't appear that -- I think I'm just going to use the window sill and move around. We don't have a podium anywhere in here?

MR. MOREL: Right there.

MS. MILLS: Well, I'm going to lay it this way so — that's okay. If you don't mind me walking back and forth, I'll try to continue to make eye confact.

MS. GARDNER: Would this help you? MS. MILLS: Yes, it would. Thank you, Sally.

MS. GARDNER: Moved it out of the

interested party.

Mr. Doraty has been leasing. He's never purchased any of the inflatables that any of you have ever seen on his roof. He has leased them all from Mr. Scherba, Scherba Industries. And Dave, in case we don't get to it during his testimony, I'm going to put it in the record anyways. I don't think anyone will object.

But he has had Scherba Industries for over 30 years. So he'll go into a little bit of detail. We don't want to keep you here long. He supplies inflatables for all the NFL teams and Cleveland Indians and has been doing this for a long time. So he's certainly well-versed to speak of its components.

In this case you received a letter and what we were hoping not to do tonight is spend too many minutes on addressing the appeal issues, although I'll speak to it, but I seem to notice that you received from the township attorney, Miss Fagnilli, you received a letter and I received it today, so I'm not sure if that's part of the record yet or not, in which she outlined her position on behalf

Page 18

Page 20

way earlier and it's coming back.

MS. MILLS: Thank you very much.

Well, good evening, everyone. I'm Laura Mills. As you heard, obviously from the appeal that we put in, I represent Mr. Doraty. I also represent Mr. Scherba, and just for clarification here so that the Board understands and everyone else that's listening, Mr. Doraty actually rents the inflatable that is currently on his roof from Mr. Scherba. So Scherba Industries is going to talk just a little bit today.

We're not going to be here very long. I promise. Maybe about half an hour. So we'll be brief.

But he'll talk about how the inflatable is manufactured, what it consists of. And then procedurally, how all this works is, when Bill wants an inflatable on the roof, Mr. Scherba actually provides that inflatable; actually places it on the roof and then takes it down. So all maintenance, installation and all issues related to the inflatable are Mr. Scherba's responsibility, which is why he's obviously here tonight and why he's an

of Elaine Ridgley and she also outlined her position on behalf of the township.

And I want to correct some of the things in the letter, because even if we don't get to it tonight as part of the record, and you're relying on it when you come up with your facts and your conclusions, there are quite a few inaccuracies that we believe exist in this particular letter.

First of all, just to the memorandum that Mr. Slagter spoke to: We did go through a full-blown trial. What we brought to trial and what Mr. Doraty sued the township for was based on 603(E) saying that that particular code provision was unconstitutional and in that unconstitutional argument he said it's not fair. That if you take a look at the zoning resolution, if you take a look at the very first part, 601, it's going to tell you that that entire sign regulation is going to apply to residential and it's going to apply to businesses; although it's applied differently when we start talking about inflatables. So he had an equal protection claim.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He also had a due process claim and he said, you know, 603 is very vague because there's a difference between a static inflatable and between a moving inflatable. We don't necessarily believe that it's prohibitive across the board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the third element of our complaint, that you will actually see in that memorandum, was a First Amendment Right saying we ought to be able to have the right to put that inflatable up and this is an infringement on our speech, and you're not using the least restrictive means to do so. That's the complaint; that's what's in the memorandum.

Does not speak to the fact that an inflatable can be permitted under the temporary sign provision, which is defined in Article II, and portable signs, which are in 605(K), permits them as well. And as you'll see in Mr. Majewski's report, and we're going to talk a little bit more about that as well, that there is no reason that an inflatable cannot be a temporary sign.

So if you're going to say we're going to look at 603 and we're going to say

trustee was -- trustee board was set up a 1 little bit differently. Rita Holt was then on 2 3 the board.

You'll hear testimony through Elaine, because it certainly came out at trial, that Rita Holt told Bill he could have that inflatable.up there. They would work with him. It was going to be fine, and there was going to be some type of text amendment is actually how she felt that they should handle

So Mr. Doraty, and for purposes of just history so you understand how much time has passed and why we're here, Mr. Doraty has had that inflatable on his roof since October of 2008. And is it helping? Yes. It is tremendously helping. He employs 60 people in Medina Township. He has done increasingly well through one of the worst economic times that we could have.

From October 2008 until today he has branded that inflatable as his own. So when you see those commercials and when the township talks about Bill being the inflatable-man or let's talk turkey to sell a

Page 22

that you just can't put that sign up forever, they're still permissible under the other code section. And we really want to spend the majority of tonight just looking at the temporary sign and portable sign provision, staying out of the constitutional arguments, obviously.

And even during the trial what was interesting is that Miss Ridgley admitted that Mr. Doraty could just go fill out a temporary sign permit. And once you fill out that temporary sign permit, then it could be appealed to the Board of Zoning Appeals and then all of you would have an opportunity to look at whether or not an inflatable is a temporary sign.

So we're here doing just that. We're hoping that you'll be objective, and listen obviously to the testimony and to our arguments tonight and give Mr. Doraty due consideration in his claim.

Just a little bit of history: The inflatable has been up a long time. October of 2008 I think is when the first inflatable went up. And in October of 2008 the township Page 24

car or whatever it is that he's doing, he is branding himself with that inflatable. And he 2 3 did that coming into the situation, starting that branding because the township said we're going to work this out.

Well, Rita passes away unfortunately. We have a different make up of the trustee board and all of a sudden the perception of inflatables is no longer positive. Now all of a sudden Medina and Route 18 is a gateway and we can't possibly have this terrible, awful inflatable on the roof. However, that's not what Mr. Doraty was led to believe. That was not why he started branding it and using it and it's not why it's on his roof today. He believed in that and he kept it up.

When the board changed, many discussions went back and forth for a long period of time. In fact, prior to this difference in the trustee board, Elaine will admit that she didn't cite Bill for a while. She wasn't citing him for that particular inflatable because she was told it would be worked out.

MS. FAGNILLI: I want to enter an objection. We're going way far afield of what the topic of this --

5.

MS. MILLS: A little bit of background because of your letter, Sara, I-think is very relative here.

At some point in time obviously the trustees could not work it out with Bill, but you're going to notice this time frame and I think that's what's so important. You're wondering how can I be standing in front of you in July of 2011 when we're talking about an inflatable that's been on the roof since October of 2008? Well, it's because of some of these issues.

I know that Bill has met with the trustees individually. They tried to come to terms. Nothing was done. Eventually he filed suit. This suit that he filed was in October 2010; it was in district court. Mr. Slagter obviously mentioned the memorandum. There was a trial.

In the particular letter that you received from Miss Fagnilli, though, it is somewhat misleading. We're going to talk

saying, well, wait a minute. You know, we shouldn't be entitled to this inflatable just because we're complaining about the banner-man and just because we're complaining about the balloon-man and the poster-man and all of these other things. It's not complaint. It's

indicate that we're complaining. We're

saying look at how this works for other items in 603. We're not trying to obviously get the banner-guy cited.

We're saying wait a minute, under

603(E) no banners. You had a temporary sign
permit permitting banners in the township for

14 at least 11 years, maybe longer.

MS. FAGNILLI: Objection.

MS. MILLS: There was a banner. Elaine can testify where the banner was on the form for at least 11 years and you checked the box and you said I want that banner and you know what? You paid 50 bucks. You put down \$275 deposit, you paid your 50 bucks. You got them all over the township.

I know that there may be a distinct -- I know that Elaine will testify that once I deposed her and she recognized

Page 26

about what occurred at that trial.

We are appealing it. 603 was found to not be unconstitutional. However, it was an advisory jury. The jury were only given two interrogatories to answer. We're going to talk about those two interrogatories, which was really is 603 unconstitutional in regards to equal protection and is 603 vague?

It doesn't talk about a temporary sign permit. It doesn't talk about whether or not he should be entitled to a temporary sign permit. None of that was an issue before the jury to decide. None of that was an interrogatory. And the memorandum that you're going to receive from her that the jury did not agree with Mr. Doraty has nothing to do with the issue before you tonight. It is an entirely different issue.

When you take a look at 603, obviously we have the inflatable language in there. We also have banners in there and we also have posters. So we're going to talk about the banners and posters a little bit tonight.

Miss Fagnilli's letter seems to

Page 28

that this could be a problem, that then the township trustees decided to take banner off the form but now they call them temporary signs. They look like a banner to me and we're going to look at a couple of pictures.

Fifty dollars every 14 days is what the township makes off a banner. And banners are in the same code section as inflatables and there is no difference. Posters are also in that same code section, in that 603. Posters are permittable under outdoor advertising. So if the poster looks like it's on a billboard, it's permissible.

So our argument is 603 may stand as it is and we're not asking you to knock out 603. We're not asking you today to find constitutional problems with 603. We're not asking you to do anything.

What we're asking is the temporary sign, under the definitions, is clearly what we are, and if we filled out a permit, just like anybody else would fill out a permit for a banner, for a poster, for anything else, why can't we pay our \$50, put our \$275 deposit down and have the inflatable and pay the money

into the township? So that's really the crux of the argument today.

By the way, on the jury, another thing that's not necessarily mentioned in Miss Fagnilli's letter is that they were out for four hours and came back at one particular point in time because they were confused about the jury interrogatory questions.

We have appealed to the Sixth Circuit and we believe very strongly in our constitutional issues and will continue that particular argument, but that argument is for another forum, another judicial body and another time.

All that we're asking for today is that you consider the temporary sign permit and consider Mr. Doraty's arguments.

> Sara, do you want to --MS. FAGNILLI: Sure. MS. MILLS: I will leave the

podium for you.

MS. FAGNILLI: Okay. I'm going to work from right here, Laura.

MS. MILLS: Okay.

MS. FAGNILLI: I can be heard.

is here.

Number 21 under findings of fact:
Bill Doraty Kia never applied for a temporary sign permit, applied for a variance or appealed any of the decisions or interpretations of the zoning inspector.

Miss Mills would have you believe

Miss Mills would have you believe that because he didn't do that he now can come back and do that, that he just missed that.

The reason that finding is in there is for a very specific legal purpose and that's because the complaint that was filed by Bill Doraty Kia that started the trial that we had talks about temporary use of these inflatables and almost every allegation that they had -- I'll give you a copy of the complaint. You can see it for yourself, but that's why that's in there. There's a very specific legal reason why that's in there.

Now, Miss Mills is absolutely right. This is an advisory jury. In federal court it's something that a judge has the ability and right to do and Judge Donald Nugent decided to do that in this case. And you have to understand what happens.

Page 30

Page 32

MS. MILLS: Okay.

MS. FAGNILLI: My name is Sara Fagnilli. I've been retained to represent Zoning Inspector Elaine Ridgley on behalf of the township.

Let me answer the question for you right up front that Laura last raised. Why can't we fill out the application? Why can't we pay our money? Why can't we get the permit? And the answer to that question is really very, very simple. Because it's not allowed. An inflatable is not allowed. And it doesn't matter whether it's a temporary sign, a permanent sign, a portable sign. Inflatables are not allowed.

To suggest that there's misrepresentation in my letter quite frankly I find offensive. There is nothing in that letter that isn't true. You can read the opinion for yourself.

But here's what you've not been told. In the findings of fact, and I think I point this out to you in the letter, there is a specific finding of fact by the court. Let me pull it up so you can know exactly where it

Because these are constitutional claims that are brought, the jury has certain abilities and certain things that they can make findings on. The judge, in an attempt to really save judicial time, decided to impanel the jury right up front and let them hear the facts and make a decision. It's that advisory to the court.

The opinion that you have in front of you is signed by United States District Judge Donald Nugent. He makes the final decision. And there are some very important things in that decision that you really need to look at and note.

Number one, the entire zoning resolution, this book, and 603(E) are presumed to be valid. It's up to someone challenging it to show that they're not.

MS. MILLS: Objection for the record.

MS. FAGNILLI: At the end of the trial --

MS. MILLS: Objection.
MS. FAGNILLI: -- at the end of the trial the plaintiff, Bill Doraty Kia,

determined they were not able to do that. The judge made some very specific findings, You'll be able to read them. I'm not going to go through all of them. And to say that the -jury only had two interrogatories or had. four -- they actually had more than that -it's not relevant to this matter. What's really relevant is whether or not Elaine Ridgley made the right decision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

123

24

25

And the reason, in the letter that we submitted to you, we point out paragraph eight is because there can be some specific rules in the zoning regulations and there can be some more general rules, and the point is that there may be forms of temporary signs that are allowed, and clearly there are.

There are permits that are issued.

Elaine can tell you about how she does that. There might be a portable sign. There might be other kinds of things that are allowed on a temporary basis and they have to be of a certain character, but inflatables is not one of them. And the judge's opinion very clearly states unequivocally inflatables are not allowed in the township and that's a

1 that Section 603(E) is not only constitutional 2 and it's valid, it is the more specific provision that says inflatables aren't allowed 3 4 in the township and that Inspector Ridgley .5. made the right decision. 6 Thanks.

MS. MILLS: We're going to call Bill Doraty to the stand so you probably need to swear him in and then we'll figure out where he should sit.

Bill DORATY

of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for examination, as provided by the rules of the Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,

16 testified and said as follows:

MR, MOREL: And your name, sir? 17 18 MR. DORATY: Bill Doraty. 19 MR. MOREL: And your address? 20 MR. DORATY: 4013 Dogleg Trail.

21 MR. MOREL: Thank you.

22 MS. MILLS: Could we just put a 23 chair right here by the court reporter and

24 then --25

1

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. MOREL: You can just question

Page 34

decision that the township can and has made. MS. MILLS: Objection.

MS. FAGNILLI: And unless and until another court changes that ruling that is the law. That's the law for the case. And I suggest to you that you're going to -- and you really should follow it. The fact of the matter is there were several claims made. The jury found on behalf of the township on a couple of those claims.

What you have to understand is there are several other claims that are out there and we outlined them in the letter for you. There's a First Amendment claim that the jury doesn't hear because the judge threw it out before it got to the jury. There's a state claim, there's an injunction claim and some other things, all of which were decided in the township's favor. Okay. And that's a very important ---

MS. MILLS: Objection. MS. FAGNILLI: -- thing to note. MS. MILLS: Totally irrelevant to the purposes of this hearing. MS. FAGNILLI: So I submit to you

e de la marcia de la companya de la

him right there. He's right in front.

2 MS. MILLS: Okay. As long as 3 you're comfortable. David will be --4

MR. MOREL: It's pretty quiet in here. Everybody will be able to hear him.

MS. MILLS: All right. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. MILLS:

9 O. We're going to try to cut to the 10 chase obviously to not keep anyone here very 11 long. So I'm just going to ask some basic 12 questions. 13

What was the first month that you had an inflatable on your roof?

A. October 2008.

Q. Okay. And what was the first step that you took with the township in trying to make sure that there wouldn't be a problem with that inflatable being on your roof?

A. Showed up to the trustees meeting in late September, early October. I don't know the exact date. And came before the trustees and told them that I'd like to put an inflatable on my roof.

They explained to me that the

inflatable was not permitted unless there was
a text amendment change. And I said, well, I
have that in front of me. And they said that
is not a text amendment. You need to hire
counsel and submit a text amendment that would
be properly worded and, you know, that could

be inserted into the zoning book.

Q. Did any one of the trustees tell you that you could keep the inflatable up in the interim while you worked through this

particular process?

A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FAGNILLI: Objection to the line of questioning. BY MS. MILLS:

Q. And who was that?

A. I had two people actually. I had Rita Holt and -- it was one. Rita Holt I believe.

Q. And for a period of time there you weren't receiving --

A. I testified to that in court. I'm not sure. It was definitely Rita and I'm not sure if Mike Todd did as well.

Q. And during that time frame, though,

hough,

Page 38

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 37

Bill, you weren't receiving violations, were you, for that particular inflatable?

A. At the time --

Q. While you're working through this text amendment issue.

A. There was a time I started to receive violations and then the violations stopped for a short time.

Q. And did you feel comfortable or assured at that period of time that the inflatable could remain on your roof?

A. Well, I felt that — okay. We started the text amendment process. I hired Laribee, Mike Laribee in town here, and he started working with the trustees, specifically Rita Holt.

There was some correspondence back and forth. You have those. And probably, you know, have seen some of the e-mails that went back and forth between Mike and Rita. And Rita definitely gave me the feeling that we were going to work through this. They were trying to come up with some language that would be acceptable for both sides.

We went -- they went back and

Q. What about with weather concerns; are they able to get out there and get it down?

A. I always get a weather alert from Inflatable Images that the sign -- or the inflatable could be coming down due to inclimate weather.

O. Do you know what the inflatable is

. 7

Page 44

made of?

.8

- A. Some -- it's a fabric. I don't know exactly what they call it, but it's a soft material.
- Q. Do you feel that it's helped your business?
 - A. Without question.
- · Q. And how; explain for the Board?
- A. You know, it's a -- we've branded ourselves as the dealership at 18 and 71 with the inflatable. It's -- when people see me in the airport or on the street or anywhere, ball field, I mean, there's clearly conversation about, oh, you're the dealer with the inflatable on his roof. So, yeah, I mean, there's no question that it's -- help people identify who we are.
- Q. You filled out a temporary sign permit?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And why did you do that?
- A. Because I was -- at the trial, you know, I was told that I didn't take the proper channels, but if I wanted to I could, if I'm not mistaken, you know. It really is the

this text amendment. It could have been, you know, sliced and diced and handed back to me and said, hey, this isn't going to work.

11 Nothing. 12 O. Y.

Q. You understand, Bill, with the temporary sign permit that you would have to pay \$50 is the current fee schedule?

I mean, we talked about the make

up of the trustees changed. Yes, there were

meetings, they couldn't make meetings. I

time in -- during that time nobody did

actually got a wrong date, but there was no

some times in between where I couldn't make

anything to make any type of progress towards

A. Yes.

Q. And that you'd have to put down a deposit of \$275?

A. Correct.

Q. And that every 14 days you'd have to have the inflatable down for at least a 24 hour period before the next 14 days can run, correct?

A, Correct.

Q. And you're willing to do all those things?

Page 42

reason.

It's in the testimony. Someone testified that I did not take all the proper channels. But I didn't take the proper channels because I wasn't advised to. When I came before the trustees, they said, Bill, if you want — if you want to have that permitted, you have to have a text amendment change, and in order to have a text amendment change, you have to bring to us — create a text amendment.

So I did. I hired counsel. I hired Laribee Archer, actually Laribee & Laribee at the time, to create it because I couldn't. Mine was I'd like to have my inflatable. They said, no, you have to have a text amendment created by your attorney. So I did that.

Then based on their conversations back and forth we were trying to come up with the proper wording that might satisfy the township, the trustees. Mike Laribee thought what he had was the best thing that he could possibly put together. We submitted that. And then that's when the stonewall started.

1 A. Iam.

Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1. Sara, we're getting you a book so -- just ask you to take a look at that, if you would, please. We'll just read this into the record so everybody who doesn't have the book can hear and then we'll leave you a book, John.

MR. SLAGTER: Sure.

BY MS, MILLS:

Q. That first -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is that the denial that you received from Miss Ridgley?

A. I'm trying to find where it says it was denied. Oh, yeah, okay. "Temporary sign permit cannot be issued for an inflatable device due to the fact that inflatable devices are not allowed in Medina Township per our zoning resolution below." Okay.

Q. And then only --

A. Doesn't it say that banners and --

22 Q. Well, this is --

A. -- temporary signs are not permitted as well?

Q. This 603 that's in this particular

23

24

25

A. Should I read it aloud?

MS. FAGNILLI: Objection.

MS. MILLS: It will be admitted

Q. Yes, you may.

22

23

24

have it.

MR. WEST: Okay.

MS. MILLS: But it was also

attached with the original appeal. It was the

	Page 5	7	P [
1	•		Page 5	, 9
2		1	MR. MOREL: Your name, sir.	
1 2 1 3		2'		
1 4	A. It was your expert. Ours did, yes.Q. He answered about four questions,	3	MR. MOREL: And address, please.	
5	didn't he?	4	MR. SCHERBA: 7231 Valleyview	
6		5	Road, Hudson; Ohio 44236.	
7	MS. MILLS: Objection.	6	MR. MOREL: Thank you.	
8	THE WITNESS: I don't recall how	7	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
9	many questions he answered. BY MS. FAGNILLI:	8	BY MS. MILLS:	
10		9	Q. Mr. Scherba, you are one of the	
11	Q. Okay. You have other signage at your	10	owners of Scherba Industries; is that correct?	
12	building, do you not, that would be considered	. 11	A. Yes.	
13	temporary signage? A. Yes.	12	Q. Who's the other owner?	
14		13	A. My brother Bob.	
15	Q. And you testified at the trial that,	14	Q. And how long have you owned Scherba	
16	in fact, you had other signage at your	15	Industries?	
17	facility, Bill Doraty Kia, that's temporary	16	A. My brother Bob and I started it in	
18	signage for which you have never applied for a permit, correct?	17	1982. So we're just about ready to start our	
19	A. I believe so,	18 19	thirtieth year of manufacturing inflatables.	
20	Q. Okay. For example, the window shades	20	Q. And just briefly, so that the Board	
21	with the Kias on the windows?	21	can get an idea, how many inflatables do you	
22	MS. MILLS: Objection.	22	manufacture in your opinion?	
23	BY MS. FAGNILLI:	23	A. Yearly I would say somewhere we	
24	Q. Those are temporary signs, as you	24	manufacture somewhere around two to 3,000 per year in our Cleveland, actually Brunswick,	.
25	testified at trial, that you have that you	25	Ohio facility. And we also import somewhere	ļ
`ì			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
, ,	Page 58		Page 60)
1 2	didn't apply for a permit for, correct?	1	around 100,000 inflatables that we direct to	ļ
3	A. I don't recall if I said that those	2	be manufactured by a sister manufacturing	
4	were or were not, but we can certainly go back to that and find out.	3	facility of ours in China. And then we also	-
5	Q. Okay. And you could have at any time	4	have a small manufacturing facility in	-
6	applied for permits for those temporary signs	5	California where we probably manufacture	۱
7	and you've never done that, have you?	6 7	several hundred a year.	
8	A. I don't recall if that's what I said.	8	Q. So you've been doing this for 30	
9	Q. I'm asking whether or not you've ever	9	years? A. Yes.	
10	applied for a temporary sign permit for any of	10		ı
11	those other signs.	11	C 1 and and managed dutto a off III	ľ
12	A. No, I don't believe so.	12	a given year. Can you just name, you know, maybe your top five customers, David, just so	ŀ
13	MS. FAGNILLI: Nothing further.	13	they have an appreciation.	1
14	Thank you.	14	MS. FAGNILLI: Objection.	1
15	MR. MOREL: Thank you,	15	THE WITNESS: Well, one of our	- 13
16	(Witness excused.)	16	clients would be the National Football League,	
17	MS. MILLS: If we could call Mr.	17	National Hockey League. We do a lot of work	3
18	Scherba to the stand and I guess he can stay	18	with the United States Military manufacturing	
19	put.	19	actual decoys that are used on the	
20	DAVID SCHERBA	20	battlefield, as well as with training of our	H
21	of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for	21	military personnel.	
22	examination, as provided by the rules of the	22	We do work with just about every	
23	Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel	23	major bottler, whether it be adult beverage or	1
24	first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,	24	non-adult beverage. So Anheuser Busch,	I
25	testified and said as follows:	25	Coca-Cola, Pepsi. We service a lot of fast	

- food feeders: McDonald's, Burger King, Wendy's. So pretty much every Fortunate 500 company that you could name we've probably done some business with over the last 30 years.
 - Q. And you're more of the marketer, sales piece of Scherba Industries and your brother is more of the manufacture, creative
 - A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. -- idea guy?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you obviously provide temporary rentals of your inflatables?
- A. Yes, we do. That's actually the way we started our business in 1982 is we were hot air balloonists and that's how we started our expertise in the cut and sew, if you will, manufacturing arena. We got our hot air balloon and we actually flew it underneath a temporary or not a temporary but an experimental FAA license. And I'm sorry. What was the question?
- Q. Just whether or not you lease temporary inflatables.

and we started out of our garage.

up so ---

- Q. Okay. Just briefly, and Bill did a very good job describing it, but can you just briefly explain for the Board how the inflatable is affixed to Bill's roof.
- A. Well, when we put an inflatable on a roof, we anchor it down with anywhere between 12 and 16 anchor straps depending on the size and shape of the inflatable. In Bill's case we use an aircraft-rated stainless steel braided cable. These things have a crazy burr strength of 5,000 pounds or something like that. Those are then anchored to the inflatable and then down to the roof into eye bolts that are imbedded into the building.

That's the way we've been doing this for 30 years. Proud and happy to say, and I'm sure my Westfield Insurance Company is as well, that we've never had a claim as it relates to inflatable advertising balloons for damage to a building or passerby. They're designed that in high winds they come down. In most cases, though, we have a weather watch system where our guys come out and take them down.

Page 62

A. Oh, so we started making inflatables and renting them. So that was our first. We weren't really a manufacturer per se at the beginning. We made them and started renting them throughout northeast Ohio. Our factory was right on the border of Cleveland and Parma

So we really built our business based on that rental portion of the business and then grew it into what it is today, which is a manufacturing facility in northern Medina County of 70,000 square feet and we employ a little over a hundred people.

- Q. And, in fact, you had provided a temporary inflatable for the city of Medina just in the last week or so?
- A. We do that quite often. This one happened to be for their bee festival at the library. So we had an inflatable up there. I believe it was this past weekend, yes.
- Q. Any problems with putting the inflatable in the city of Medina?
- A. No, not at all. They let it go up. You know, again, it's, you know, if it suits their, I guess, plan, then they will let it go

Page 64

- Q. And, Mr. Scherba, is the structure that you've just described meant to temporarily affix this particular inflatable?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. It's not a permanent structure?
- A. No, you know, most inflatables that we put up, we put them up on the building knowing that they're going to come down, you know, by our choice, whether it be in 10 days or 30 days or whatever length of time the promotion would be run.
- Q. And I think you've also testified before, but if you could explain today that a lot of times you just leave the blower on the roof so it's there and convenient and ready to put the inflatable up; is that correct?
- A. Occasionally, you know, in the case if we're switching out inflatables, typically we use the same blowers. So if we were to switch out an existing inflatable for another one, we would -- our installers would come, they put the ladder up against the building, they would take the new inflatable up, deflate the old inflatable, harness in the new inflatable, turn the blower back on and away

you go and so that whole process would take somewhere around an hour from start to finish to, you know, change out an inflatable. If we were removing it permanently, 30 minutes.

Q. And what about just to put it up?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. If it's a first time install, it's going to take about an hour and a half. The next time it's much easier because your anchor points are already on the building, we already know where the power's coming from. All of that stuff has already been, you know, predone.

Q. Has anyone ever called the inflatable that you manufacture a temporary sign?

A. Yeah. As it relates to our rentals, every city that we are able to pull a permit for it's under temporary sign permit. There's no -- I have never run across, in any of the cities that I do business with here in northeast Ohio, something called an inflatable permit. It's always -- we're always calling it a temporary sign permit.

Q. And can you describe for the Board what the actual material is of an inflatable?

A. Yeah. It's an eight ounce

1 Q. Do you see that particular --2

A. I do.

O. Did you manufacture that banner?

A. I did.

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 66

Q. Do you know where that banner was placed?

7 A. It was put on -- in the front of a business called Dance Excel. I can't give you 8 9 the exact address, but it's on Route 18 just west of Bill Doraty. I think it might be even 10 west of Interstate 71, but there's a Mexican 11 12 restaurant there. There's a liquor store; so you get an idea of that little strip mall over 13 14 there.

> Q. I'm going to ask you to take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, Sara.

MS. FAGNILLI: Thank you.

18 BY MS. MILLS:

19 Q. That first page, do you know who Greg 20 Koch is?

21 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Where are we looking?

22 Q. Very first page of Plaintiff's

23 Exhibit 6.

24 A. Yeah, Greg Koch. 25

Q. Yes. And who is that?

Page 68

vinyl-coated polyester. Take one and pass it on, if you like; back in high school. And that's the same material that we would use if we were going to manufacture, for example, a banner that ---

Q. Okay.

A. -- you would put out in front of your business, either it's affixed to your building or, you know, poles or anything like that.

Q. Well, and that brings up an interesting question. I'm going to look here and you're going to have to give me a moment. But I'm going to hand -- I'm going to hold up, just for purposes of you continuing to talk, this particular picture of a banner. It says register now for summer dance classes. If everybody can see that.

MS. MILLS: It is not an exhibit. We're going -- it is an exhibit in form. You will see the actual permit for this banner,

24 allow David to keep talking. 25 BY MS. MILLS:

MS. FAGNILLI: What exhibit is it, Laura? but for this, purposes of the picture, just to

A. He, I believe, is one of the owners of Dance Excel. If he's not an owner, he's someone who works there.

O. Name of the business on sign: It says Dance Excel, correct?

A. Yes,

7 Q. You've seen this particular form before; isn't that right? 9

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what was this form for?

A. This apparently was filled out by Greg to get a temporary sign permit or zoning sign permit for that particular banner.

Q. Okay. If we take a look at the third page of the same exhibit, it says register now for summer dance classes. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

O. And that is the color photo that we have tried to hand hold up for everyone to see. And you, in fact, manufactured the banner; is that right?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And throughout this entire form, and the Board will be able to look at the particular binder as you convene, but you have

1 referred to it as a banner through your work 2 orders and through everything you've done; is 3 that correct? 4 A. Yeah. I think, you know, generally 5 if someone would take a look at a piece of 6 material that has print or artwork or some 7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 16 if you weigh a square yard of it, it's going 1 Q. Or is that at your place? 2 A. No, that was taken out in front of 3 Dance Excel on Route 18. Okay. 4 Q. And does your company or did your 5 company install that sign? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 12 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says 16 register now for summer dance classes?
2 orders and through everything you've done; is 3 that correct? 4 A. Yeah. I think, you know, generally 5 if someone would take a look at a piece of 6 material that has print or artwork or some 7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 2 A. No, that was taken out in front of 3 Dance Excel on Route 18. Okay. 4 Q. And does your company or did your company install that sign? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports that hold it up as well? 12 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
3 Dance Excel on Route 18. Okay. 4 A. Yeah. I think, you know, generally 5 if someone would take a look at a piece of 6 material that has print or artwork or some 7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 3 Dance Excel on Route 18. Okay. 4 Q. And does your company or did your 5 company install that sign? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
4 A. Yeah. I think, you know, generally 5 if someone would take a look at a piece of 6 material that has print or artwork or some 7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 4 Q. And does your company or did your 5 company install that sign? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
5 if someone would take a look at a piece of 6 material that has print or artwork or some 7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 5 company install that sign? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
6 material that has print or artwork or some 7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 6 A. No. 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
7 sort of graphic design on it I would say most 8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 7 Q. Who installed it; do you know? 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
8 people would call that a banner. 9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 8 A. I do not know. 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
9 Q. Now, the material for that banner, is 10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 9 Q. Do you just sell it to the company? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
10 it similar in nature to the material that we 11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
11 have just handed out for the Board? 12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 11 Q. Okay. And do you sell the supports 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
12 A. Yes, that's correct. It could the 13 banner we may have made out of a little bit 14 heavier material, you know. That particular 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 12 that hold it up as well? 13 A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
banner we may have made out of a little bit heavier material, you know. That particular material is eight ounce per square yard. So A. No. I do but we didn't sell these. Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So you just sold them the sign itself that says
14 heavier material, you know. That particular 14 Q. You didn't sell it to these guys. So 15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
15 material is eight ounce per square yard. So 15 you just sold them the sign itself that says
1 10 It you work a befaute just of it, it being 120 10 foot now for common dance classes.
17 to be eight ounces. The banner material we 17 A. Well, I sold them a banner, yeah.
18 may have upped it to an 18 ounce material just 18 Q. You call it a banner.
19 because it's not supported by very much. We 19 A. Well, so did the client.
20 don't like it to rip. 20 Q. Okay. But you know from being at the
21 So, you know, that would be akin 21 trial that it's a temporary sign, correct?
22 to, let's say, a truck tarp, you know. It's 22 A. It's a temporary sign in the form of
23 going to be a little bit heavier but the same 23 a banner.
24 material basically. It's a vinyl-coated 24 MS. FAGNILLI: Thank you. I have
25 polyester. 25 no further questions.
Page 70 Page 72
1 Q. And this Dance Excel I know that you 1 (Witness excused.) 2 couldn't give an exact address, but now that 2 MS. MILLS: We would call Elaine
3 you're looking at Exhibit 6, 3583 Medina Road. 3 Ridgley to the stand.
4 Does that kind of trigger your memory 4 ELAINE RIDGLEY
5 A. Yeah. 5 of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for
6 Q that this particular banner 6 examination, as provided by the rules of the
7 A. Yes. 7 Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel
8 Q is in Medina Township? 8 first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,
9 A. I'm looking at it now, yes. 9 testified and said as follows:
10 MS. MILLS: I have no further 10 MR. MOREL: And your name.
11 questions. 11 MS. RIDGLEY: Elaine Ridgley, 420
12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 Heather Lane, Medina, Ohio 44256.
13 BY MS. FAGNILLI: 13 MR. MOREL: Thank you.
14 Q. You said this is the same material 14 MS. MILLS: Sara, can you share
15 but heavier as the inflatables? 15 your binder over there?
16 A. Yeah. I can't speak exactly, but I 16 MS. FAGNILLI: Sure. Laura,
would guess that we may have made it heavier. 17 excuse me, does this go in with that Exhibit
18 I would have to go back. 18 6?
19 Q. So a different weight? 19 MS. MILLS: I don't know that I'll
20 A. Yeah, it would be slightly heavier. 20 enter it. If we you can keep it and if we
21 Q. Okay. And the picture that you have 21 enter it into the record I'm going to keep
22 shown here on this exhibit, is this that sign 22 talking about it.
23 in front of the building where you sold it to 23 MS. FAGNILLI: Did you mark it or
24 the Dance Excel place? 24 no?
25 A. Yes. 25 MS. MILLS: I did not mark it.
18 (Pages 69 to 72)

605 we believe is very critical because it talks about temporary signs, portable signs and then when you go to those definitions to see what that is, it defines an inflatable to a T.

2.1

So as you heard the testimony from Elaine, and from anyone that's ever discussed this, an inflatable meets the temporary sign definition. I mean, I think that that is completely undisputed. It's canvas. It's a fabric. It's portable. It's up for a temporary period of time. There's no question.

The only question is whether or not, in Elaine's determination, 603 prevents Bill from having his temporary sign permit. And what we're saying is don't go cite the poor Mexican banner people or the Dance Excel people or any of the other individuals that have utilized the banner temporary sign permit for, I think, at least ten years in this particular township, but take a look at how that has been handled and how the zoning has been handled in regards to 603.

603 throws a myriad of different

prohibited. Inflatables aren't in there. There isn't an absolute prohibition.

: The question before this Board is: Is 603 more important than 605 and the definition that you find in Article II or is 605 and the definitions permit a temporary sign? And they certainly do in the case of posters. They certainly do in the case of banners and we would ask that they certainly do in regards to inflatables.

Thank you.

MR. MOREL: Okay.

MS. FAGNILLI: Thank you.

That's a very creative argument but it doesn't apply. Remember I told you early on the idea of something that's more specific is controlling over something more general. It's a couple of good examples.

A poster is permitted in outdoor advertising. It's a separate section. It has its own set of rules. Doesn't apply in this case. Banners, if you listen to what Elaine said carefully, not the way Miss Mills wants it to be, but what Blaine told you is banners have never been permitted in the township.

Page 90

Page 92

type of devices and objects into it. The three most notable and that we've discussed are: Posters, inflatables and banners. Posters and banners have been permitted in the township for, at least on the banner end, I can say at least ten years. I don't know how long the posters have been permitted as long as it's on a billboard.

And what I find so interesting is that Miss Ridgley is adamant to say the definitions permit and supersede 603 when it comes to posters. She says it supersedes it.

So when that definition for outdoor advertising gives you the right to put up the poster, we're going to throw 603(E) out the window. Doesn't apply any more. But when it comes to Bill Doraty and we're talking about an inflatable, 603 is king and 603 prohibits him from having a temporary sign. That doesn't make any sense. He's willing to pay the 50 bucks. It's a temporary sign.

There's also a code section and it's in the particular binder that discusses prohibited signs. There's specific code section in 603(A) tells you what is absolutely

There's an error on the form. It was fixed.
Because it was an error on the form doesn't
mean they were allowed. They weren't and they
never have been, as you heard her say.
Banners, flags -- I mean you can

Banners, flags -- I mean, you can read the definitions yourself. It's common sense. Go look in the dictionary. Yes, it makes perfect sense. Those are the things that you aren't allowed to have.

Temporary signs, however, are permitted. Is the inflatable a temporary sign? Absolutely could be. Is it permitted? It absolutely is not because inflatable devices are not permitted under any circumstances.

And Mr. Doraty tried to challenge on the constitutional level in federal court. That didn't work and the court came back and said very clearly 603(E) is valid. Based on that valid section the zoning inspector denies the permit for a temporary sign because it's not permitted as a temporary sign, as a permanent sign. Doesn't matter what it's made of or how it's put up or how it's used. It's not permitted. And a federal judge has told

us that they're not permitted.

.7

here.

Okay. And it's clever to try and pick and choose and say, well, you look at this definition and that definition and it's not quite right there. Well, it's not that easy. And if the case were about posters, we could look at posters and look at all that information, but that's not relevant and that's not really what the question is before you.

And the question isn't banners either. The signs that you were shown aren't banners. They're signs. They're temporary signs. Mr. Scherba can call them a banner if he wants and his work order form can call it a banner if he wants, but for the purposes of the township resolution, which is presumed to be valid, which was found to be valid, they're temporary signs and they're only allowed if they're affixed on four corners.

Really boils down to a very simple question. Are inflatables allowed in the township? They're not so, therefore, the zoning inspector's decision to deny the temporary sign permit for Mr. Doraty's

go ahead. Did anybody else hear that conversation?

MR. DORATY: Mike Todd was there. MR. WEST: So Mike Todd would testify that that's what she said you think?

MR. DORATY: I believe so.

MR. WEST: Okay. You've been so successful with these inflatable over the last three years, why are you even here? What's the point? I mean, is there a penalty involved?

MR. DORATY: Well, I did exactly -- I'll answer the question. I came before the Board of Trustees before I did it and asked them what it took to make these inflatables permissible.

I said this -- I believe what I said at the time in 2008 was, you know, I notice that we have some things in the zoning code that, in my opinion, are outdated. This township is not the same township that it was 20, 25, 30 years ago. You have big box stores all over the place. You have two intense retail districts and times have changed. So if I want to do this, tell me what -- tell me

Page 94

Page 96

inflatable was the correct decision and we would ask you to uphold that decision.

Thanks.

MR. MOREL: Okay. Read some notes

MR. WEST: Do you want to talk about where we go from here?

MR. SLAGTER: You have given an opportunity to the audience to make comments.

MR. MOREL: Correct.

MR. SLAGTER: No one is interested to come forward, so I would, at this point, close the hearing unless there are questions that the members of the BZA have for the applicant or the township because there may be some questions you have. You do have the right to ask questions to people that testified. I don't know if you have any.

MR. WEST: I have one question.

MR. MOREL: Sure.

MR. SLAGTER: I would say before we close the hearing you could.

MR. WEST: Mr. Doraty, if I could ask you with regard to your conversation with Rita Holt, you commented that she told you to

the steps that I have to take. So I did exactly what the trustees asked me to do. Got to a certain point and then got stonewalled and I think that's wrong.

MR. WEST: Do you know off the top of your head how many citations you received?

MR. DORATY: About this many

(indicating).

MR. WEST: That would be more than

10 20?
 11 MR, DORATY: Yeah.
 12 MR, WEST: Twenty-fi

MR. WEST: Twenty-five? MR. DORATY: Yes.

MR. WEST: More than 30 since

15 2010?

MS. MILLS: There was a time period where he was not cited.

MR. WEST: Okay. Thank you. MR. MOREL: Elaine, I have a

question for you.

MS. RIDGLEY: Sure.

MR. MOREL: If somebody wanted to put up a temporary sign and it contained a flasher or a blinker or looked like a traffic signal would you approve that?

Page 97 Page 99 1 MS. RIDGLEY: No. 1 MR. MOREL: State your full name. 2 MR. MOREL: Why? 2 MR. FARRELL: Sean Farrell, 5068 3 MS. RIDGLEY: We don't allow any 3 Red Maple Court 44256. 4 flashing, blinking, movement on a temporary or 4 MR. MOREL: Thank you. 5 a portable sign. 5 MR. FARRELL: Why is an inflatable 6 MR. MOREL: Okay. Yes, sir. 6 not allowed in the township? Where is it 7 MICHAEL BAACH 7 stated that you can't have one and what are 8 of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for 8 the reasons why you can't have one? That's 9 examination, as provided by the rules of the 9 all I want to know. 10 Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel 10 MR. MOREL: We're not here to 11 first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, 11 discuss, okay, why you can't have one. It 12 testified and said as follows: 12 states in 603(E) that they're not allowed. 13 MR. MOREL: And your name, sir. Why we can't have them is because the zoning 13 14 MR. BAACH: Michael Baach. 14 resolution here, which is our -- this is our 15 Address is 3838 Weymouth Woods Drive here in 15 bible here in the township, specifically 16 Medina Township. 16 states they're not allowed. 17 MS. FAGNILLI: I'm going to enter 17 MR. FARRELL: Okay. 18 an objection. 18 MR. MOREL: So that's -- because 19 MR. MOREL: Go ahead. 19 this Board gives variances and does what we're 20 MS. FAGNILLI: It's not 20 doing today. It doesn't create this book. 21 appropriate in a hearing like this to have 21 This book is created and amended by the zoning 22 outside comment. 22 board and the trustees. We just interpret and 23 MR. MOREL: Yeah. 23 give variances if they're deemed necessary. 24 MR. BAACH: Everyone, and what 24 That's -- our scope of our stuff is small. 25 we're trying to do is present evidence here 25 Okay. Page 98 Page 100 1 that is important to the Board. I see you 1 MS. FAGNILLI: Mr. Chairman, 2 have a lot of attorneys here giving advice 2 before you go any further, I have a question 3 that we're paying for I assume. 3 for Mr. Baach. If you're going to let people 4 But I ask that when the Board 4 comment, then I'm going to ask for the ability 5 reads its own documents it understands how 5 to --6 confusing it is to us as business people in 6 MR. MOREL: Sure. 7 order to try to comply and do our very best. 7 MR. SLAGTER: If I may interject 8 If I believe what I'm hearing here 8 too, just for the purpose of procedure here. 9 right now, I'm in violation for flying my 9 and I understand there are residents that have 10 American flag at my home because it's a 10 concerns and things like that, but this is 11 banner. 11 like a hearing in front of a judge. They're 12 MR. MOREL: Not at your home. 12 acting like a judicial panel and they're 13 MR. BAACH: At my business? 13 hearing evidence on one particular issue and, MR. MOREL: Probably. 14 14 as the Chairman had indicated, if there are 15 MR. BAACH: Let's think about what 15 problems with the zoning or things like that 16 we're doing before we decide and understand 16 that's typically something the trustees or the 17 the implications of what we're doing. That's zoning commission or even the property owner 17 my testimony and I thank you. 18 18 files that to amend your zoning. They would 19 MR. MOREL: Okay. have to go through that procedure. They have 19 20 SEAN FARRELL 20 no authority there. 21 of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for 21 What's relevant, though, is to the 22 examination, as provided by the rules of the 22 extent there are, you know, issues out there 23 Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel 23 relating to this particular thing, if you can 24 first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, 24 add value for one reason or another. 25 testified and said as follows: 25 definitely I think that's appropriate for this

1				
		Page 10)1	Page 103
	1	<i>O</i> , <i>y</i>	1	Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel
l	2	0	2	
	3	1	3	
İ	4	know. And I would so everyone understands	4	MR. MOREL: And your name, sir.
Ì	5	what we're doing.	5	MR. HERRMANN: Don Herrmann, 3389
	6	MR. MOREL: Okay. Go ahead.	6	South Weymouth Road.
	7	MS. FAGNILLI: I'm just going to	7	in the state of th
	8	find my spot here. Mr. Baach, you said that	8	MR. HERRMANN: I was just kind of
	9	as a business person you find this all	9	wondering that Rita Holt and that Todd that
ļ	10	confusing. Is that what you just said?	10	The state of the s
-	11	MR. BAACH: I did.	11	y j - n man y - m j - m j may - mante curta and
	12	MS. FAGNILLI: Okay. But you are	12	
ı	13	aware, are you not, that an advisory jury of	13	of the state of th
	14	12 people in federal court, which I believe,	14	that then?
ŧ	15	if I recall right, you testified in that	15	MR. MOREL: I don't speak for
1	16	trial; did you not?	16	somebody else.
ı	17	MR. BAACH: I did.	17	MR. HERRMANN: I was just kind of
ŧ	18	MS. FAGNILLI: And there were 12	18	wondering. Are you guys trying to work to get
ł	19 20	people sitting in the jury box.	19	this over with or you know?
1	21	MR. BAACH: Didn't count them, but	20	MR. MOREL: We're not involved.
,	22	I wouldn't argue with you,	21	This Board is not involved in that procedure,
	23	MS. FAGNILLI: Okay. I wouldn't represent to you that that's not true. Laura	22	the Zoning Board of Appeals. We're not one of
	24	would agree. And they're citizens like us.	23 24	the channels that does that.
ı	25	MS. MILLS: I would object,	25	MR. HERRMANN: I was just kind of
<u> </u>			╁	wondering who told that.
	-	Page 102		Page 104
	1	though. It has nothing to with why we're here	1	KELLY HERRMANN
	2	today, but I want it noted for the record, but	2	of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for
	3	go ahead and ask your question.	3	examination, as provided by the rules of the
	4 5	MS. FAGNILLI: And you're aware	4	Board of Zoning Appeals, being by Mr. Morel
	6	that that jury was asked to make some decisions, correct?	5	first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,
	7	MR. BAACH: I'm not aware of the	6	testified and said as follows:
	8	procedure.	7	MR. MOREL: And your name.
	9	MS. FAGNILLI: Are you aware that	8 9	MS. HERRMANN: Kelly Herrmann,
1	0	the jury, when asked, came back and said	10	3389 South Weymouth Road.
1		read it for you. Yes, do you find that a	11	There is a banner right up at Blakslee Park. It's tied on a fence to watch
1		person of ordinary intelligence would have	12	
1		known from a reading of Medina Township Zoning	13	out for motorcycles. It's not on posts. So
1		Resolution 603 that the display of inflatable	14	did they how is the township getting away with that?
1		device, the nature of the display at Bill	15	MR. MOREL: That is, once again
1		Doraty Kia, is prohibited and they said yes; a	16	MS. HERRMANN: That's a banner.
1		person of common intelligence. Are you aware	17	There are four grommets. It's tied on a post
1		that that was their finding?	18	to watch for motorcycles,
1:		MR. BAACH: No, I'm not.	19	MR. MOREL: Once again, that's not
21		MS. FAGNILLI: Thank you.	20	a matter before this Board and we're not going
2:		MR. BAACH: But I still state it's	21	to waste that's something to bring up at a
22		confusing.	22	trustees meeting. It really is.
23		DON HERRMANN	23	MS. HERRMANN: They are letting
24		of lawful age, a Witness herein, called for	24	someone else
25		examination, as provided by the rules of the	25	MR. MOREL: That's something to
A-S	NAME OF B	WAREAR CHEER COMMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	adeantic (G)	The bottom is
				^ ~

bring up at a trustees meeting. It really is. Our scope here is very narrow. MS. FAGNILLI: Mr. Chairman, let me ask a question. Do you know whether or not there was a sign permit application for that sign? MS. HERRMANN: No. That's what I'm asking.

MS. FAGNILLI: That's what you're asking. So you're not aware of whether or not there was a permit for it?

MS. HERRMANN: Well, I guess I'll have to come and find out, right? MR. MOREL: Uh-huh.

MS. FAGNILLI: There is.

MS. HERRMANN: But that's a banner.

17 18 MS. FAGNILLI: No, it's a 19 temporary sign.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MILLS: I have just one other comment in regards to Mr. West's comment of Rita from Bill Doraty.

Elaine, it's true that Rita threatened your job if you cited Bill any

further and, in fact, you stopped citing him,

do you think?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WEST: I don't know. You have got other applicants.

MR. MOREL: I know, but it's kind of not great to be the last guy on the list but, you know, I mean --

MR. WEST: Whatever.

MR. MOREL: We very rarely continue these things, Bill, unless there's something that needs to be done.

MR. WEST: I think you've had an awful lot of evidence presented here and you've got a book down there that's four inches thick that we haven't seen and you want to make a decision tonight and I'm not sure that there is enough time for the members of this group to seriously consider the information that's being presented. That's all I'm asking.

An awful lot of information has been presented here by everybody and you want to do the right thing here and I just -- I'm just wondering if, in deliberating this thing, it should be done, if not after we finish the other applicants tonight or on another evening

Page 106

Page 108

didn't you, for a period of time and that's why there are not citations that you will see anywhere that were consistent during the entire time frame; isn't that correct?

MS. RIDGLEY: You know, she sat in my office on a Friday and told me it would be in the newspaper on Monday for my job if I did not listen to what she said. I did not cite him, but I continued to take the pictures.

MS. MILLS: I just wanted that clarified for Mr. West who I think had some questions in regards to that issue.

MR. WEST: Thank you.

MR. MOREL: Okay. All right.

MR. WEST: Should we ---

MR. SLAGTER; Now, you want to close the hearing.

MR. MOREL: Yeah.

MR. WEST: Then we move on to other business.

MR. SLAGTER: Well, you move on. Right now would be deliberations if you want to this evening make a determination on this matter.

MR. MOREL: I think we can. What

here where we consider what our conclusions are going to be about the facts. I'm asking the question. I'm not dictating the result. MR. SLAGTER: You have the right to --

MR. DORATY: Can I ask you, we would be okay if you took the other applicants, put them, get them handled and then deliberate after that.

MR. MOREL: Well, if we're going to deliberate, we'll deliberate on another day. We'll do the applicants. Either we do it now or we convene some other -- I think five days from now.

MR. SLAGTER: You can hold a special meeting.

MS. MILLS: Yeah, we would consent. There's no objection to you deliberating on another day, no.

MR. SLAGTER: One thing I may suggest that might be helpful is, you know, obviously we've received a series of exhibits. It looks like there is Exhibits 1 through 8 and I don't know if all of these were covered.

MS. MILLS: They're

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 11 12 13 14 15 restriction. I think that's what the issue 16 is, if I'm correct. 17 MR. MOREL: Uh-huh, sounds like it 18 to me. 19 MR. SLAGTER: What -- is there --20 there is a general prohibition and then 21 there's this temporary sign issue and somehow 22 is that exempted from it or meets that or does 23 it generally and completely prohibit it 24 whether it's temporary or not. I mean, that's 25 the issue before you.

transcript and then they will need, the applicant and the township, sufficient time to review it, to put together their brief and proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law and so it's the thinking that we would have this deliberation continued for your next scheduled meeting.

MR. MOREL: Right.

MR. SLAGTER: Which would be what date?

MR. MOREL: It's the third

Wednesday of August, whatever that is.

MS. FAGNILLI: August 17th.

MR. MOREL: Will everybody be here? Horst.

MR. BECKER: Yes.

MR. MOREL: Boris?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.

MR. MOREL: You'll be here for sure.

MS. KARSON: Yes.

1 evidence that was presented and the evidence that was presented is what you heard here under oath or the documents that have been submitted to you.

Now, there were some objections that were raised about the comments and also the testimony and also the documents. So we may receive some of those but, yes, you've got to limit your review to the zoning code, the exhibits and the testimony you heard.

MR. MOREL: Uh-huh.

MR: SLAGTER: And also you don't want to -- you can't be influenced by individuals or otherwise that come to you. They may call you up and give you an opinion. My advice there is, you know, until that next hearing just really I would not talk about it. If someone brings it up to you or tries to influence you, say on advice of our counsel we're not going to talk about it.

MS. FAGNILLI: Mr. Slagter, one of the things we didn't really kind of formally go through the entire process. I only have one document to submit. It's a copy of the complaint without all the exhibits.

Page 114

MR. SLAGTER: If you could each exchange also what you provide. MS. MILLS: We did.

there's enough copies of that book delivered

tomorrow morning so you will have it here.

MR. WEST: That will be very

MR. MOREL: Okay.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

123

helpful.

MR. BAACH: Mr. Slagter, may I ask a question? And I have the greatest respect for you guys sitting on this Board. I don't know how you do it. You live in this community and we thank you for all you do.

One of the things that I am often troubled about when these things have breaks in the action is that it's difficult to live in the same community with you and not try to influence you based on if I see you at the store and what goes on.

I've not heard any advice from counsel as to how the process of what information you should use to make your decision and I would like to understand that is why I'm asking.

MR. SLAGTER: Sure, sure.

MR. BAACH: So I know absolutely why I don't want to serve on a board.

24 MR. SLAGTER: Right. You are to 25 -- your determination is to be based on the

MS. MILLS: Sure.

MR. SLAGTER: Sure. It's the copy

of?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FAGNILLI: Copy of the complaint.

MS. MILLS: I would object saying it's irrelevant but obviously it is what it is.

MR. SLAGTER: Right. And also an exhibit or part of the record would be that order that we had talked about but it was referred to. I don't know whether it's in here or not, but I think it's relevant.

MS. FAGNILLI: We have a letter. Laura has her appeal letter. I think all that would be part of the record.

MR. SLAGTER: We would probably mark this as an exhibit also. That was submitted.

MS. MILLS: Yes.

MR. SLAGTER: The fabric, whatever it's called.

MR. MOREL: So what do we formally do, call this a continuance or --MR. SLAGTER: Yeah. You would

	Page 117	7 Page 119
1	continue this matter for to your next	1 the alternate has to stay because they heard
2	meeting. And at that point it would be you	2 the evidence and the testimony.
3	would just have a deliberation. There would	3 MR. MOREL: Right, right. That's
4	be no new evidence taken in.	4 why I asked if everybody is going to be here.
5	MR. MOREL: Right, right.	5 So don't get run over. Okay.
6	MR. SLAGTER: Because you've	6 MS. MILLS: Thank you.
7	closed the hearing.	7 MS. FAGNILLI: Thank you.
8	MR. MOREL: I need a motion then	8
9	for that and a second.	9 (Hearing concluded at 9:20 p.m.)
10	MR. WEST: I'll move the hearing	10
11	be closed.	11
12	MR. WILLIAMS: Second.	12
13	MR, MOREL: Closed it. Now we	13
14	need one to continue it to the next regular	14
15	meeting, the third Wednesday of August.	15
16	MR. WEST: Okay. So we're moving	16
17	to close it and continue it until the until	17
Ŧ		
18 19	August the 17th, to our meeting on August the	18 19
1	17th, right? Is that the date we decided?	20
20	MR. MOREL: Is that the date?	21
21	MR. WEST: 17th.	22
22	MR. MOREL: Okay. And a second.	1
23	One of you guys going to second it?	23 24
24	MR. WILLIAMS: I'll second.	25
25	MR. MOREL: Boris seconded it.	
	Page 118	Page 120
1	Okay. All in favor, aye.	CERTIFICATE
2	MR. BECKER: Aye.	STATE OF OHIO,)
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Aye.) SS: SUMMIT COUNTY,)
4	MR. MOREL: Aye.	
5	MS. KARSON: Aye.	I, LORI K. PHILLIPS, RMR and Notary Public within and for the State of
6	MR. WEST: Aye.	Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do
7	MR. MOREL: Okay. So we'll	hereby certify that the proceedings were by me reduced to Stenotypy, afterwards transcribed
8	continue this and then we will deliberate on	upon a computer; and that the foregoing is a
9	the 17th.	true and correct transcription of the proceedings so given as aforesaid.
10	MR. SLAGTER: And just	
11	procedurally I know you'd asked about people's	I do further certify that these proceedings were taken at the time and place
12	attendance that are on the Board. The	in the foregoing caption specified, and were
13	applicant or the township's attorney or zoning	completed without adjouπunent.
14	inspector doesn't need to be there. Obviously	I do further certify that I am not
15	I'm sure they would want to be there, but	a relative, council or attorney of any party, or otherwise interested in the event of this
16	you'll need to be there.	action.
17	You need to have a quorum and you	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
18	have during this part, but also during your	hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of
19	deliberations and that's set forth in your	office at Akron, Ohio, on this 27th day of July, 2011.
20	book and a majority of that quorum would have	5u(y, 2011,
21	to vote.	
22	MR, MOREL: Right.	Lori K. Phillips, RMR and Notary
23	MR. SLAGTER: If someone else	Public in and for the State of
24		Ohio,
	COMES that mas On the RVA the anemale that	
25	comes that was on the BZA, the alternate that took their position, you really I would say	My Commission expires December 2, 2015.